

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,829	10/31/2003	Huei Pei Kuo	200309594-1	1282
22879 7590 10/25/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER .	
			WONG, ERIC K	
	LINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/698,829	KUO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric Wong	2883			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 24-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 24-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 4/20/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive based upon amendments made to the independent claims. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of United States Patent Application Publication 2005/0243415 to Lowe et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 12-14, 24-26, and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Application Publication 2005/0243415 to Lowe et al (hereinafter Lowe).

Lowe discloses in figures 3-4, a display apparatus comprising:

• A plurality of substantially totally internally reflecting (TIR) light guides (80 and paragraph 66) for expanding a small original optical representation (pixel elements) from an input (40) of each light guide to a larger optical representation output (100) at an output of each light guide (90), wherein the input of each light guide encompasses a relatively smaller cross sectional area as compared with a

Art Unit: 2883

cross sectional area of the output taken perpendicularly with respect to a length of each light guide (figure 4).

As to claim 2, a TIR waveguide would inherently have a cladding of lower refractive index to maintain a totally internal reflection.

As to claim 3, the light guides are bent along the length of the light guides (figures 3, 5, and 14).

As to claim 4, the original representation comprises a pixel of an image (paragraph 30).

As to claims 5 and 26, the input end (45) is beveled.

As to claims 12-13, plastics materials may be used (paragraph 63).

As to claims 14 and 30, dielectric layers may be used (air is dielectric).

As to claims 25 and 29, the pixel elements project to make up an image that can be configured to different aspect ratios.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-9, 27-28, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowe as applied to claims above, and further in view of United States Patent Number 6,031,954 to Higuchi.

Lowe discloses the invention as claimed including a coated diffuser plate output, however, fails to explicitly disclose a beveled output section.

Art Unit: 2883

Higuchi discloses an output that is beveled to improve picture quality.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a reasonable attempt to bevel the output edges of Lowe using the techniques disclosed by Higuchi in order to improve picture quality.

6. Claims 15 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowe as applied to claims 1 and 24, respectively, above, and further in view of Higuchi.

Lowe discloses the display apparatus having a totally internally reflecting light guide as claimed, but fails to explicitly disclose the use of glue as a layer separating the light guides.

Higuchi discloses the use of such resins and adhesives to form a light guide.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a known material such as glue or resins in order to prevent unwanted movement of light guides and to further prevent optical errors.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. United States Patent Application Publication 2004/0001679.
 - b. United States Patent Number 6,385,371.
 - c. United States Patent Number 5,862,285.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2883

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-2363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Conter 2900